Pipeline Pigging & Integrity Management Conference Technical Program – March 1-2, 2017

Wednesday, March 1				
	Chairman: Pat Vieth, Past-Chair, USA, Inc.	ASME Pipeline Systems Division, and	Board Chair, Young Pipeline Professionals	
8:00	Introduction			
8:30	[1] The American pipeline dilemma: how we got there and a partial prescription for moving forward, by Jeff Wiese , TRC Solutions, Reston, VA, USA			
9:00		[2] A qualification route map for the pipeline industry, by Michelle Unger , Rosen Group, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK, and Dr Phil Hopkins , PHL, Whitley Bay, UK		
9:30	[3] Closing the generational gap, by TX, USA	[3] Closing the generational gap, by Jerry Rau , RCP, Inc., Houston, TX, USA, and Jane Rau , JTrain Inc., Houston, TX, USA		
10:00	Coffee	Coffee		
11:00	[4] Preparing to transfer and accept the duty of care, by Chris Yoxall , Rosen Group, Houston, TX, USA, and Eric Lang , Enbridge Energy Partners, Houston, TX, USA			
11:30	[5] Developing a new pipeline management system from scratch, by James Kenny , Stantec Consulting, Calgary, AB, Canada			
12:00	[6] ALARP and zero leak tolerance - applications for the pipeline industry, by Phillip Nidd , Dynamic Risk, The Woodlands, TX, USA			
12:30	Lunch			
	SESSION 1	SESSION 2	SESSION 3	
	Chairman: Everett Johnson, Marathon Oil Company, Kenedy, TX, USA	Chairman: George Williamson, BP, Houston, TX, USA	Chairman: Terry Shamblin, EQT Midstream, Pittsburgh, PA, USA	
	Materials & testing	Regulations & best practice	Integrity assessment & management	
2:00	[7] Human-centric approach to improve pipeline NDE, by Patrick McCormack , Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH, USA	[21] The Liquid and Gas Mega Rules - pigs in a poke?, by John Jacobi , G2 Integrated Solutions, Houston, TX, USA	[36] Pipeline integrity management: program or system? The key to success, by Enrique Acuña , Dandilion Ingeniería Ltda, Santiago, Chile	
2:30	[8]	[22] A change in paradigm TVC will be process and not a project in the future, by Amy Jo McKean , TRC, Kansas City, MO, USA, and Rich Henry , TRC, Englewood, CO, USA	[37] A review of pipeline defectassessment methods: Pipeline DefectAssessment Manual, 2nd Edition, by Susannah Turner, Penspen Ltd, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK	

3:00	[9] Comprehensive NDE technology assessment for LFERW seam anomalies, by Jennifer O'Brien, Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH, USA, and Pushpendra Tomar and J. Bruce Nestleroth, Kiefner & Assocs, Columbus, OH, USA	[23] Effectively assessing the piggability of pipelines – an innovative approach, by Stefan Vages , Rosen Group, Calgary, AB, Canada	[38] Probabilistic determination of pipe grade, by Michael Rosenfeld and Dr Jing Ma , Kiefner & Assocs, Columbus, OH, USA
3:30	Coffee		
4:30	[10] ILI and NDE	Cracks & leaks	[39] On-site visits provide proven
	characterization of pipeline manufacturing flaws and confirmation through full-scale testing, by David Futch , Ronald W. Scrivner , and Rhett L. Dotson , Stress Engineering, Houston, TX, USA, and Andrew Pulsifer , Enable Midstream Partners, Houston, TX, USA	[24] Managing the seam-weld crack threat: common pitfalls and recent progress, by Dr Ted Anderson , TL Anderson Consulting, Longmont, CO, USA, and Gregory Brown , Quest Integrity, USA, Boulder, CO, USA	costreduction and value for uninspected pipelines, by Geert Bontekoe and Laurie Todd , Quest Integrity Group, Stafford, TX, USA
5:00	[11] In-ditch materials verification methods and equipment for steel strength and toughness, by Dr Simon C. Bellemare, Steven D. Palkovic, and Kotaro Taniguchi, Massachusetts Materials Technologies, Cambridge, MA, USA	[25] A case study on circumferentialcrack detection, by Dr Thomas Hennig , NDT Global Corporate Ltd, Dublin, Ireland, Mark Brimacombe , Pembina Pipeline Corporation, Calgary, AB, Canada, and Cory Wargacky , NDT Global Corporate Ltd, Dublin, Ireland	[40] The use of PGS ILI technology and Pipeline DNA process to determine the populations of undocumented pipeline sections, by Christopher De Leon, Simon Slater, Thomas Eiken, and Daniel Molenda, Rosen Group, Houston, TX, USA
5:30	End of day, Exhibition reception	<u> </u>	

Thursday, March 2			
	SESSION 4	SESSION 5	SESSION 6
	Chairman: Roland Palmer- Jones, Rosen Group, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK	Chairman: Dr Tom Bubenik , DNV GL, Dublin, OH, USA	Chairman: Jerry Rau, RCP, Inc, Houston, TX, USA
8:00	[12] Non-destructive testing to meet materials verification requirements, by Hamood Rehman , G2 Integrated Solutions, Houston, TX, USA	[26] Engineering-critical assessment for cracks in pipelines, by Andrew Russell, Dr Bob Andrews, Angus Patterson, and Michael Palmer, Rosen Group, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK	[41] Velocity independent sizing of axial planar anomalies using oblique magnetization, by Adrian Belanger, James Simek, and Dane Burden, TD Williamson, Inc., Houston, TX, USA
8:30	[13] Collective effects of leakage, temperature changes, and entrapped air during hydrostatic testing, by Dr Lawrence Matta , Stress Engineering Services, Houston, TX, USA	[27] The impact of crack profiles on pipeline integrity: advancing assessments with new ILI capabilities, by Jennifer O'Brien , Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH, USA, Sean Moran , TD Williamson, Tulsa, OK, USA, and Dr Mike Kirkwood , TD Williamson, Dubai, UAE	[42] Inspecting and managing a pipeline with internal surface roughness due to top-of-the-line CO ₂ corrosion: a case study, by Kai Xin Toh , Quest Integrity Group, Stafford, TX, USA
9:00	[14] Practical considerations for minimizing hydrostatic-test failures, by Gary Zunkel, Rachel Sorrentino, and Megan Halver, Lake Superior Consulting, Bloomington, MN, USA	[28] The need for pinhole leak detection: a comparison of different technologies and the professional approach with ATEX-certified leak detection pigs, by Rene Landstorfer, Gottsberg Leak Detection GmbH & Co KG, Oststeinbek, Germany	[43] Managing the threat from weather and outside force using ILI, by Jane Dawson and Ian Murray , PII Pipeline Solutions, Cramlington, UK
9:30	Coffee		

	Hardware	ILI tool performance	[44] Avoiding future pipeline failures by
10:30	[15] Multiple functions of automated pigging systems, by David Wint , Audubon Field Solutions, and Roxy Mounter , WeldFit Energy Group, Houston. TX, USA	[29] Evaluating ILI tool performance using a validation process, by Dr Yanping Li, Gordon Fredine, Yvan Hubert, Vasily Vorontsov, Janine Woo , and Sherif Hassanien , Enbridge Pipelines, Inc., Edmonton, AB, Canada	detecting, identifying, and prioritizing mechanical damage, by Chuck Harris , TD Williamson, Inc., Houston, TX, USA
11:00	[16] Quick-opening closures, by Jack Lollis, Kyle Corriveau, Jesse Green, and Larry Payne, Pipeline Equipment, Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA	[30] Automated signal comparison and normalization - an advanced method of comparing repeat ILI data, by Johannes Palmer , Artur Miller , and John Knudsen , Rosen Group, Lingen, Germany	[45] Risk-based mitigation of mechanical damage, by Dr Jing Ma and Fan Zhang , Kiefner & Assocs, Columbus, OH, USA, and Guy Desjardins , Desjardins Integrity, Calgary, AB, Canada
11:30	[17] Performance of a low-drag seal assembly for pipeline pigging and a novel corrosion-pit cleaning brush, by Dr Dan Fletcher , Vincent Foong , and Michael Hooper , Fiberbuilt Manufacturing, Calgary, AB, Canada	[31] ILI of a 1950s vintage pipeline using multi-technology tool, by Andrew Greig , Kinder Morgan Canada, Calgary, AB, Canada	[46] Fatigue performance characterization of a manufacturing seam defect in high-frequency electricresistance-welded pipe, by Dr Chantz Denowh and Chris Alexander , Stress Engineering Services, Houston, TX, USA, and Travis Schott , Phillips 66, Houston, TX, USA
12:00	[18] A primer on isolating pipe- inpipe hot-oil pipelines: a Northern Alberta case study, by Stephen Rawlinson and Doug Krokosz, STATS Group, Houston, TX, USA	[32] Robotic inspection of deep well booster pumps, by Jonathan Minder , Diakont, San Diego, CA, USA	[47] A seam-weld-condition model for assessing the general integrity of pipeline segments, by J. Bruce Nestleroth and Stephanie Flamberg , Kiefner & Assocs, Columbus, OH, USA

12:30	Lunch		
	SESSION 7	SESSION 8	SESSION 9
	Chairman: Scot Rohleder, BP America, Houston, TX, USA	Chairman: Jim Marr, Marr & Assocs, Calgary, AB, Canada	Chairman: Matt Hastings, Williams, Houston, TX, USA
2:00	[19] Advances in pig detection and assurance, by Andy Marwood , Online Electronics, Aberdeen, UK	[33] Latest improvements of ultrasonic ILI, by Stefan Klein , Gerhard Kopp, Thomas Meinzer , and Herbert Willems , NDT Global Ltd, Stutensee, Germany	[48] Application of an advanced method of comparing repeat ILI data to improve pipeline integrity management, by Andrew Wilde and Michael Smith , Rosen Group, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK
2:30	[20] Integrity inspection - identifying and locating leaks on buried terminal piping, by Ian Harris , Praxair Services Inc., The Woodlands, TX, USA	[34] Techniques for the enhanced assessment of pipeline dents, by Jane Dawson, Julie Hedger, and Ian Murray, PII Pipeline Solutions, Cramlington, UK	[49] Pipeline integrity and data integrity: the critical role of data in the enterprise, by Dr Otto Huisman and Sebastian Ruik Beyhaut , Rosen Group, Lingen, Germany, and Daniel Falabella , Transportadora de Gas del Sur S.A., Buenos Aires, Argentina
3:00	[20a] Development of an industry test facility and qualification process for ILI technology evaluation and enhancement, by Hans Deeb , PRCI, Houston, TX, USA, and Pablo Cazenave , Blade Energy Partners, Houston, TX, USA	[35] Investigating 16-in EMAT tool performance for a low-frequency ERW seam inspection, by Sean Moran , TD Williamson, Salt Lake City, UT, USA, and Dr Mike Kirkwood , TD Williamson, Dubai, UAE	[50] ILI of axial strain: technique, case studies, and best practices, by Dr Mohamed ElSeify and Stuart Clouston, Baker Hughes Canada, Calgary, AB, Canada, and Doug Dewar, Spectra Energy Transmission, Prince George, BC, Canada, and presented by Dennis Janda, Baker Hughes, Houston, TX, USA
3:30	Coffee, End of conference		